From:Mike RoachTo:Dogger Bank SouthSubject:Re: Supplementary agenda questions for Issue Specific Hearing 2Date:28 January 2025 14:40:44Attachments:Control of Control of Contro

Good afternoon,

Please see below response to questions raided by the examiner

ISH2.5.2. With reference to the magnitude of impact in Table 13-11 of Chapter 13 [APP-117], can the NFFO comment whether impacts up to 7 and 30 years are to be considered low and medium magnitudes respectively.

It is our opinion that the applicant has attempted to link spatial and temporal aspects into a simplistic approach to assessing impacts to commercial fisheries receptors. A temporal scale of 30 years is likely to be beyond the lifetime of the project or the greatest proportion of the project's lifetime. We disagree that any impacts that have a temporal scale of the lifetime (or majority of) the project would be classified as a medium impact. The same is observed with the low impact of a temporal scale of seven years.

What the applicant is determining, is that if a business is subjected to any impacts for a period of up to seven years, this impact would be classed as low, or medium if over a period between 7 and 30 years - we do not agree with this assessment. We would question why the applicant has chosen these arbitrary figures. This chosen methodology is why impacts to commercial fisheries are never assessed as significant, the temporal scales chosen "waters down" the potential impacts within the assessment.

ISH 2.5.3. The NFFO RR [RR-034] raises concerns regarding the surveys undertaken and the associated information included in the assessment. The Applicants responded [PDA 013]. Would the NFFO like to elaborate on any outstanding concerns following the Applicants' response? If so, please highlight any specific details which have implications for the assessment submitted. It would be useful for you to provide references to support your concerns.

The NFFO have not received response on this issue from the applicant to date. I have been unable to locate the response on the PINS website or via the link provided in provided ISH document. However, we are in discussion with the applicant in developing the Statement of Common Ground that is covering the concerns we have raised on this issue amongst others.

Kind regards,

Mike Roach Deputy Chief Executive National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations 30 Monkgate York YO31 7PF

Tel: +44 Mob: +44 Email: @mffo.org.uk Web: http://www.nffo.org.uk/

From: Dogger Bank South <DoggerBankSouth@planninginspectorate.gov.uk> Sent: Friday, January 10, 2025 1:44:48 PM **Cc:** Dogger Bank South <DoggerBankSouth@planninginspectorate.gov.uk> **Subject:** Supplementary agenda questions for Issue Specific Hearing 2

Good afternoon,

The Examining Authority has today issued some supplementary agenda questions for Issue Specific Hearing 2 on Wednesday 15 and Thursday 16 January 2025. You are being sent this email as this includes questions for your organisation. The supplementary agenda questions are attached and will shortly be published on the project page.

Kind regards

Caroline

Planning Inspectorate	Caroline Hopewell (pronouns)) Case Manager (please note I work part time, my usual working days are Tuesday – Friday) Planning Inspectorate T 0303 444 5025 M 07584 452 519
--------------------------	--

2 @PINSgov in Planning Inspectorate @ planninginspectorate.gov.uk

Ensuring fairness, openness and impartiality across all our services

This communication does not constitute legal advice. Our <u>Customer Privacy Notice</u> sets out how we handle personal data in accordance with the law.

Please take a moment to review the **Planning Inspectorate's Privacy Notice** which can be accessed by clicking this link.

Please note that the contents of this email and any attachments are privileged and/or confidential and intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error and then delete this email from your system.

Recipients should note that e-mail traffic on Planning Inspectorate systems is subject to monitoring, recording and auditing to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The Planning Inspectorate has taken steps to keep this e-mail and any attachments free from viruses. It accepts no liability for any loss or damage caused as a result of any virus being passed on. It is the responsibility of the recipient to perform all necessary checks.

The statements expressed in this e-mail are personal and do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of the Inspectorate.

DPC:76616c646f72

?